

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Yuichi Ueda	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,508	•	03/09/2004		MM8845US	1950
22203	7590	06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	R & JAFF		GREENHUT, CHARLES N		
HIGHLAND PLACE SUITE 310 6151 WILSON MILLS ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HIGHLA	ND HEIG	HTS, OH 44143	3652		
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/796,508	UEDA, YUICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles N. Greenhut	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (S. 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a residual to the same of the same o	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyarection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	∆ \ □ 1-4	Summany (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

I. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation from the relevant paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 112:

(2) The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

1.1. Claim 1 recites the limitation, "a corresponding opposite end of an upper part of said

platform" in line 10. It is unclear what "corresponding" refers to. It is unclear what

"opposite" refers to.

1.2. Claim 1 recites the limitation, "the opposite end" in line 15. It is unclear what this

refers to since there are apparently at least two opposite ends since each cable is

connected to "a corresponding opposite end" as previously set forth.

II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claim(s) 1, and 5-6 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by TANAKA

(JP 01-092108 A).

1.1. With respect to claim 1, TANAKA discloses a running truck body that runs along a

track (Fig. 1), a platform (9), longitudinal pair of raising and lowering poles (8b)/(8d),

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508

Art Unit: 3652

pair of cables (11)/(12), driving wheel (21), and the ropes guided from the opposite

Page 3

sides of the upper part of the platform to vicinity of a central portion of the running

track, to the vicinity of a central lower portion of the platform (Fig. 2).

1.2. With respect to claim 5, TANAKA additionally discloses a driving wheel at each end

(Fig. 2).

1.3. With respect to claim 6, TANAKA additionally discloses the claimed guide wheel

configuration (Fig. 2).

III. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim(s) 2-4 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TANAKA (JP

01-092108 A).

1.1. With respect to claim 2, TANAKA additionally discloses a tension setting device

(Fig. 3). While TANAKA fails to disclose the tension setting device arranged in the

platform, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to rearrange

the parts of TANAKA so that the tensioner is located in the platform in order to

protect the tensioner from interference and/or contamination.

1.2. With respect to claim 3, TANAKA additionally discloses a spring and error detecting

unit (Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

1.3. With respect to claim 4, TANAKA additionally discloses a spring, a moving

member, setting jig, and detector (Fig. 3).

IV. Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments entered 4/25/06 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

1. Applicant argues that TANAKA does not anticipate claim 1 because TANAKA fails to teach

cables guided to a vicinity of a central lower portion of the platform. This argument is not

persuasive. Though the cables of TANAKA, as viewed from figure 2, appear to terminate

closer to the outer edge of the platform (9), the termination points (12b)/(11b) can properly

be considered to be located at "a vicinity of a central lower portion of [the] platform" within

the broadest reasonable interpretation of that term.

2. Applicant argues several design advantages taught by applicant's preferred embodiment as

compared to the prior art. This is not relevant to the question of whether TANAKA properly

anticipates claim 1. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

3. Applicant asserts that claim 1 is not rendered obvious by TANKA because of "unexpected

results." This argument is not persuasive. Unexpected results must be established by factual

evidence. Applicant has presented no evidence in support of this assertion as required by

MPEP 716.01(c).

V. Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd

paragraph presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 2. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles N. Greenhut whose telephone number is (571) 272-1517. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am 4:00pm EST.
- 4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.
- 5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508

Art Unit: 3652

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

CG

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600